

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/699,635	10/30/2003	William G. Tatton	IFM-005CP4CN2	3097
959	7590 10/19/2005		EXAM	INER
LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP. 28 STATE STREET			FAY, ZOHREH A	
BOSTON, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1618	
			DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No	Applicant(s)	
	10/699,635	TATTON, WI	LLIAM G.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Zohreh A. Fay	1618	[
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cov	er sheet with the correspondence	ce address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS C 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, hor ation. ry period will apply and will expir by statute, cause the application	OMMUNICATION. wever, may a reply be timely filed e SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13	this communication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n		
•	 ⊠ This action is non-fi	nal.	
3) Since this application is in condition for	allowance except for fo	ormal matters, prosecution as t	o the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice u	•	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the appl	ication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w		ration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requir	ement.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		jected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection		•	(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	• • •	•	• •
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	•	- · · · · ·	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	J ()	- 0 (-) (-) - (-)	
1. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been rec	eived.	
2. Certified copies of the priority doc			_•
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
application from the International	· ·		3 -
* See the attached detailed Office action for	•	, ,,	
		•	
Attachment(s)	_	1	
)	4) L	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
., or bransperson's Faterit Drawing Review (PTO-		~	√DTO-152\
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) [•	1 (1 10-132)

Art Unit: 1618

Claims 1-20 are presented for examination.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-19 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,455,590. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they overlap. The claims of the instant application are drawn to the use of a deprenyl compound in general and more specific deprenyl compounds in the dependent claims for the treatment of glaucoma. The claims of the U.S. patent are drawn to deprenyl compound in a liposome for the treatment of glaucoma. Such claims are an obvious variation and are within the scope of each other.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double

patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-19 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-18 of U.S. Patent No. 5,783,606. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they overlap. The claims of the instant application are drawn to a deprenyl compound in general and more specific deprenyl compounds in the dependent claims for the treatment of glaucoma. The claims of the U.S. Patent are drawn to the specific deprenyl compounds as claimed in the dependent claims of the instant application. The claims of the instant application are an obvious variation and are within the scope of the U.S. Patent.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Fries Hastings (U.S. Patent 5,242,950). Fries Hasting teaches the use of a deprenyl compound in a pharmaceutical/ophthalmic formulation for the treatment of macular degeneration. Such composition is inherently kept in a kit or container. The above reference makes clear that the claimed composition and the kit is old and well known.

Art Unit: 1618

The addition of instruction to a kit does not create a patentably distinct composition or kit. See In re Nagi, F.3d, 2004 WL 1068957 (Fed. Cir 1983).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zohreh A. Fay whose telephone number is (571) 272-0573. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Z.F

ZOHREH FAY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200

Zahnt

1. Agraphical control of the control